FINAL REPORT

Evaluation of Dulverton Waste Management compost on the growth, yield and quality of green beans cv. Valentino

Kindred, Tasmania, 2010-11

Protocol Number: Dulverton Waste Management Proposal 19/04/10

Client: Dulverton Waste Management

Author: Suzanne Harper

Project Leader: Phillip Frost Peracto Pty Ltd

Report Number: DUL09502#2

Report Date: 2 August 2011



Peracto Pty Ltd ABN: 97 109 472 559 Head Office: 16 Hillcrest Road, Devonport, Tasmania, 7310 Australia Telephone: +61 3 6423 2044 Fax: +61 3 6423 4876 reports@peracto.com www.peracto.com

CONTENTS

SUMMARY	.3
INTRODUCTION	.4
Aims	.4
Diseases	.4
MATERIALS AND METHODS	.5
Product list	.5
Treatment list	.5
Chronology of events	.5
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	.6
Table 1. Root disease severity at 70DAS	.6
Table 2. Marketable beans and plant fresh weight at harvest	.6
Table 3. Unmarketable beans at harvest	.6
Figure 1. Harvested bean pod yield by catergory	.7
Table 4. Plant growth at 67DAS	.7
Table 5. Soil nutrient assessment at 17DAS	. 8
Figure 2. Soil properties	. 8
PHOTOGRAPHS	.9
CONCLUSIONS	12
APPENDICES	13
Appendix i. Trial details	13
Site details	13
Trial plan	14
Trial location map	14
Application details	15
Assessments	6
Soil details	17
Compost details	18
Appendix ii. Raw data	19
Appendix iii. Statistical analysis	20
Appendix IV. Meteorological details	21

SUMMARY

At Kindred, Tasmania, in 2010-11, a trial was conducted to evaluate the effect of Dulverton Waste Management compost on the growth, yield and quality of green beans cv. Valentino. Compost was applied as a single broadcast application at 10 and 20 t/ha and incorporated into the soil prior to sowing.

Soil analysis was conducted at 27 days after sowing (DAS). Root disease assessments for *Aphanomyces* root rot and black root rot (*Thielaviopsis basicola*) were made 70DAS. Bean growth assessments were made at 67 and 95DAS and bean marketability was assessed 3 days after harvest.

Dulverton compost applications showed no significant effect for black root rot and *Aphanomyces* root rot severity of bean roots compared with the untreated control.

Dulverton compost increased bean plant fresh weight, marketable pod weight and marketable pod number by between 90% and 140% of the untreated control at harvest, 95DAS. Differences were not statistically significant.

Dulverton compost also increased the unmarketable pod weight and number though again differences were not statistically significant. The number and weight of harvested pods exhibiting pearing, or incomplete pod fill, was not affected by treatment.

At 27DAS there was a trend for increased soil organic matter, total carbon and available nitrogen with increasing compost rate. There was also a trend for increased sodium and magnesium levels and decreased phosphorus and sulphur levels for both compost rates compared with the untreated control.

All compost treatments were safe to the crop.

INTRODUCTION

Aims

- To investigate the efficacy of the compost produced at the Dulverton Waste Management site for plant growth and control of root rot in beans cv. Valentino.
- To compare Dulverton compost at two rates; 10 t/ha and 20 t/ha.
- To examine the crop safety of the applied compost to the bean crop.

Diseases

Black root rot (*Thielaviopsis basicola* Ferraris) *Aphanomyces* root rot (*Aphanomyces* spp.)

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Product list

Product name	Active ingredient (ai)
Dulverton compost	Green waste

Treatment list

No.	Product	Product rate t/ha	Application schedule
1	Untreated control	nil	n/a
2	Dulverton compost	10	Compost broadcast onto plots and incorporated
3	Dulverton compost	20	green beans

Chronology of events

Date	Days after sowing (DAS)	Crop stage	Event
09/07/10	-91	Pre-sowing	Treatment application
08/10/10	0	Sowing	Beans sown
25/10/10	17	BBCH 12, 2 true leaf	Soil samples taken
14/12/10	67	BBCH 61, Start of flowering	Growth assessment
17/12/10	70	BBCH 61	Root disease assessment
11/01/11	95	BBCH 77, 70% of pods at typical length	Growth assessment Harvest
14/01/11	98 3 days after harvest	Post harvest	Marketability assessment

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Table 1. Root disease severity at 70DAS

No.	Treatment	Rate t/ha	Disease severity index (0-4)	Severe rot incidence (% plants rated 3 or 4)
1	Untreated control	0	2.8	56.7
2	Dulverton Compost	10	2.9	63.3
3	Dulverton Compost	20	2.7	57.5
<i>P</i> -value			0.9682	0.9356
LSD (5% level)			N/A	N/A

N/A = p-value > 0.05.

DAS: Days after sowing

No.	Treatment	Rate t/ha	Marketable weight t/ha (% increase) 98DAS	Marketable number x10 ⁶ /ha (% increase) 98DAS	Above ground fresh weight t/ha (% increase) 95DAS
1	Untreated control	0	2.3	0.5	6.0
2	Dulverton Compost	10	5.1 (122)	1.2 (140)	12.3 (105)
3	Dulverton Compost	20	4.9 (113)	1.1 (120)	11.4 (90)
	P- value		0.4089	0.3292	0.3567
	LSD (5% level)		N/A	N/A	N/A

Table 2. Marketable beans and plant fresh weight at harvest

N/A = p-value > 0.05.

DAS: Days after sowing

Table 3. Unmarketable beans at harvest

No.	Treatment	Rate t/ha	Unmarketable weight (t/ha)	Unmarketable number (x10 ⁶ /ha)	Peared weight (t/ha)	Peared number (x10 ⁴ /ha)
1	Untreated control	0	0.6	0.6	0.2	6.3
2	Dulverton Compost	10	1.3	1.2	0.2	6.6
3	Dulverton Compost	20	1.0	1.0	0.2	5.4
P-value		0.5396	0.3273	0.9354	0.8868	
LSD (5% level)		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

N/A = p-value > 0.05.

Peared beans are those which, due to environmental factors, exhibit incomplete filling in the upper part of the bean pod (see Photograph 6).

DAS: Days after sowing

At 70 days after sowing (DAS) Dulverton compost applications did not significantly affect the incidence or severity of root diseases (black root rot (*Thielaviopsis basicola*) and *Aphanomyces* root rot) of bean roots compared with the untreated control.

Dulverton compost increased bean plant fresh weight, marketable pod weight and marketable pod number by between 90% and 140% of the untreated control. Differences were not statistically significant.

Dulverton compost also increased the unmarketable pod weight and number, again differences were not significant. The number and weight of harvested pods exhibiting pearing, or incomplete pod fill, was not affected by treatment.



Figure 1. Harvested bean pod yield by catergory

No.	Treatment	Rate t/ha	Fresh weight¹ (kg/100 plants) 67DAS
1	Untreated control	0	1.026
2	Dulverton Compost	10	0.981
3 Dulverton Compost 20		20	1.125
<i>P</i> -value			0.7235
LSD (5% level)			N/A

Table 4.	Plant	arowth	at	67DAS
	1 10110	9.000		U DAO

N/A = p-value > 0.05.

¹Fresh weight is of whole plants including roots DAS: Days after sowing

At 67DAS there was no significant effect from compost for whole plant fresh weight compared with the untreated control.

Treatment		Untreated control	Dulverton compost 10 t/ha	Dulverton compost 20 t/ha
Product Rate	t/ha	Nil	10	20
Organic matter		5.98	6.07	6.39
Total Carbon	%	3.88	3.94	4.15
Available N	kg/ha	6	6.7	7
Phosphorus	mg/kg	5.3	2.8	2.7
Potassium	mg/kg	351.9	321.5	392.2
Sulphur	mg/kg	23.3	10.3	11.0
Calcium	mg/kg	2932	2902	2855
Magnesium	mg/kg	294.5	314.0	313.6
Sodium	mg/kg	66.7	71.1	76.0

DAS: Days after sowing

At 17DAS there was a trend for increased soil organic matter, total carbon and available nitrogen levels with increasing rate of compost.

There was also a trend for increased soil sodium and magnesium and decreased soil phosphorus and sulphur for both treatment rates when compared to the untreated control.



Figure 2. Soil properties

PHOTOGRAPHS



Photograph 1: (17/12/10 – 70DAS) Root rot disease severity index catergories



Photograph 2: (11/01/11 – 95DAS) Untreated control (Trt 1)



Photograph 3: (11/01/11 – 95DAS) Dulverton compost applied at 10 t/ha (Trt 2)



Photograph 4: (11/01/11 – 95DAS) Dulverton compost applied at 20 t/ha (Trt 3)



Photograph 5 and 6: (14/01/11 – 98DAS) Marketable and unmarketable bean pods



Photograph 7: (14/01/11 – 98DAS) Peared beans

CONCLUSIONS

- Dulverton compost applications did not significantly affect the incidence or severity of black root rot (*Thielaviopsis basicola*) and *Aphanomyces* root rot when incorporated into soil at a rate of 10 t/ha or 20 t/ha, 91 days before sowing of beans, compared with the untreated control.
- Dulverton compost increased bean plant fresh weight, marketable pod weight and marketable pod number by between 90% and 140% of the untreated control at harvest. Differences were not statistically significant.
- The weight and number of unmarketable bean pods was also dramatically, though not significantly, increased by application of compost.
- The weight and number of bean pods exhibiting pearing, or incomplete pod fill, was not affected by treatment.
- There was a trend for increased soil organic matter, total carbon and available nitrogen levels with increasing compost rates. There was also a trend for increased soil sodium and magnesium and decreased soil phosphorus and sulphur for both compost rates when compared with the untreated control.
- All Dulverton compost treatments were safe to beans when incorporated into the soil prior to sowing.

APPENDICES

Appendix i. Trial details

Site details

Grower	Harvest Moon
Location	Kindred, Tasmania
GPS co-ordinates	-41.19479, 146.23532
Soil type	Clay loam
Сгор	Green beans
Cultivar	Valentino
Trial design	Randomised complete block
Replications	2
Plot size	10 m x 100 m
Sowing date	08/10/10
Harvest date	11/01/11

Trial plan

1	Block 1
2	Block 2
3	Block 3
1	Block 4
3	Block 5
2	Block 6

↑N

Trial location map



↑N

Application details

Application equipment							
Equipment	Tyne and crumble roller						
Method	Compost was broadcast onto 10 m wide strips and cultivated using tyne and crumble roller						
Date	09/07/10						

Meteorological data from Sheffield School Farm for the months of July 2010 up to and including January 2011 is included as Appendix iv to this report. The trial site was situated 18.5 km from Sheffield School Farm.

Assessments

1. Soil nutrient assessment								
Dates	25/10/10							
Days after sowing	17							
Sample size	1 kg soil (bulked from two blocks)	1 kg soil (bulked from two blocks)						
Method	Soil was taken from multiple random locations within each block. Soil was sampled to 10 cm depth with a uniform volume of soil sampled with depth. Samples were delivered to AgVita Analytical laboratory where a soil nutrient analysis was undertaken.							
Statistical analysis	Nil - non replicated sampling.							
2. Plant growth assessment								
Dates	14/12/10	11/01/11						
Days after sowing	67	95						
Sample size	30-32 plants per plot							
Method	Whole plant fresh weight and fresh shoot	weight						
Statistical analysis	Analysis of variance (ANOVA) test and Fisters were conducted using ARM7.	scher's least significant difference (LSD)						
3. Marketability assessment								
Dates	14/01/11							
Days after sowing	98							
Sample size	30-32 plants per plot							
Method	Bean pods were visually assessed for marketability, unmarketability and pearing, pod numbers and weights were recorded for each catergory.							
Statistical analysis	Analysis of variance (ANOVA) test and Fisters were conducted using ARM7.	scher's least significant difference (LSD)						
4. Root disease assessment								
Dates	17/12/10							
Days after sowing	70							
Sample size	30-32 plants per plot							
Method	The disease severity was assessed on a scale of 0-4 according to the following severity rating: 0 – no hypocotyl discolouration and no root rot 1 – some superficial hypocotyl rot, light root pruning, with good root branching 2 – superficial hypocotyl rot and moderate root pruning 3 – severe hypocotyl rot and moderate root pruning 4 – severe hypocotyl rot and severe root pruning Incidenece of each catergory was recorded and the disease severity index was calculated according to the formula: Disease severity index = ((1 x no. plants in rating 1) + (2 x no. plants in rating 2) + (3 x no. plants in rating 3) + (4 x no. plants in rating 4) + (5 x no. plants in rating							
Statistical analysis	Analysis of variance (ANOVA) test and Fis test were conducted using ARM7.	scher's least significant difference (LSD)						

Soil details

Assessment 1

Treatment		1	2	3
Product rate	t/ha	Nil	10	20
Soil analysis report no.		22229-1	22229-2	22229-3
Laboratory name		AgVita Analytical	AgVita Analytical	AgVita Analytical
Date soil sampled		25 October 2010	25 October 2010	25 October 2010
Date soil received by laboratory		28 October 2010	28 October 2010	28 October 2010
Sample depth	cm	10 cm	10cm	10cm
Soil type at site		Heavy soil CECe > 12 meq	Heavy soil CECe > 12 meq	Heavy soil CECe > 12 meq
Soil bulk density	g/cm ³	0.85	0.85	0.76
Total carbon	%	3.88	3.94	4.15
Organic matter		5.98	6.07	6.39
pH (1:5 H ₂ 0)		6.68	6.88	6.80
Electrical conductivity (EC)	dS/m	0.10	0.06	0.07
Root zone moisture	mm	20.9	21.5	19.4
Total available Nitrogen	kg/ha	6	6.7	7
P Saturation Ratio (PSR)				
Phosphorus	mg/kg	5.3	2.8	2.7
Potassium	mg/kg	351.9	321.5	392.2
Sulphur	mg/kg	23.3	10.3	11.0
Calcium	mg/kg	2932	2902	2855
Magnesium	mg/kg	294.5	314.0	313.6
Sodium	mg/kg	66.7	71.1	76.0
Cation Exchange Capacity (CECe)				
Calcium	%	68.5	72.3	71.3
Magnesium	%	11.4	12.9	12.9
Potassium	%	4.2	4.1	5.0
Sodium	%	1.4	1.5	1.7

Compost details

Nitrogen (N)	1.98%
Phosphorus (P)	0.86%
Potassium (K)	0.70%
Sulphur (S)	0.28%
Calcium (Ca)	2.30%
Magnesium (Mg)	1.05%
Sodium (Na)	0.21%
Iron (Fe)	2.20%
Manganese (Mn)	600 ppm
Zinc (Zn)	178 ppm
Copper (Cu)	74 ppm
Cobalt (Co)	9.02 ppm
Boron (B)	26.0 ppm
Molybdenum (Mo)	2.80 ppm
Ph - (1:5 Water)	6.8
Electrical Conductivity	2100 us/cm
Total Organic Carbon	25%

Supplied by Dulverton Organics

Appendix ii. Raw data

Description			Whole plant including roots			
Rating Date		14/12/10				
Days after s	owing		67			
Rating Unit			KG/100 PLANTS			
Number of S	Subsamples		2			
Trt	Treatment					
1	Dulverton Compost		1.025			
		Mean =	1.025			
2	Dulverton Compost		0.831			
			1.132			
		Mean =	0.981			
3	Dulverton Compost		1.078			
1			1.172			
		Mean =	1.125			

Description	Marketable	Marketable	Unmarketable	Unmarketable	Peared	Peared	Top weight
	weight	number	weight	number	weight	number	TOP Weight
Rating Date	14/01/11	14/01/11	14/01/11	14/01/11	14/01/11	14/01/11	11/01/11
Days after sowing	98	98	98	98	98	98	95
Rating Data Type	YIELD	NUMBER	YIELD	NUMBER	YIELD	NUMBER	WEIGHT
Rating Unit	T/HA	NUMB/HA	T/HA	NUMB/HA	T/HA	NUMB/HA	T/HA
Number of Subsamples	3	3	3	3	3	3	2
Trt Treatment							
1 Dulverton Compost	2.3	527500	0.6	577500	0.2	62500	6.0
Mean =	2.3	527500	0.6	577500	0.2	62500	6.0
2 Dulverton Compost	4.0	1000000	1.3	1170000	0.2	72500	11.0
	6.2	1350000	1.3	1205000	0.2	60000	13.7
Mean =	5.1	1175000	1.3	1187500	0.2	66250	12.3
3 Dulverton Compost	3 Dulverton Compost 5.1 1187500		1.4	1187500	0.3	77500	12.7
	4.7	1052500	0.6	830000	0.1	30000	10.1
Mean =	4.9	1120000	1.0	1008750	0.2	53750	11.4

Description		DSI 1	DSI 2	DSI 3	DSI 4	DSI 0-4	Severe rot root count	Severe root rot % incidence
Rating Date		17/12/10	17/12/10	17/12/10	17/12/10	17/12/10	17/12/10	17/12/10
Days after sowing		70	70	70	70	70	70	70
Trt Treatment								
No. Name	Rate	47	48	49	50	51	52	53
1 Dulverton Compost	0	4.0	11.0	9.0	6.0	2.6	15.0	50.0
biochar	0	4.0	7.0	4.0	15.0	3.0	19.0	63.3
	Mean =	4.0	9.0	6.5	10.5	2.8	17.0	56.7
3 Dulverton Compost	10000	5.0	6.0	6.0	13.0	2.9	19.0	63.3
biochar	0	5.0	6.0	8.0	11.0	2.8	19.0	63.3
	Mean =	5.0	6.0	7.0	12.0	2.9	19.0	63.3
5 Dulverton Compost	20000	2.0	5.0	6.0	18.0	3.3	24.0	77.4
biochar	0	14.0	6.0	5.0	7.0	2.2	12.0	37.5
	Mean =	8.0	5.5	5.5	12.5	2.7	18.0	57.5

Description	Marketable Marketable L weight number		Unmarketable weight	Unmarketable number	Peared weight	Peared number
Rating Date	14/01/11	14/01/11	14/01/11	14/01/11	14/01/11	14/01/11
Days after sowing	98	98	98	98	98	98
Rating Data Type	YIELD	NUMBER	YIELD	NUMBER	YIELD	NUMBER
Rating Unit	T/HA	NUMB/HA	T/HA	NUMB/HA	T/HA	NUMB/HA
Trt Treatment						
1 Dulverton Compost	2.30 a	527500.1 a	0.6000 a	577500.1 a	0.2250 a	62500.0 a
2 Dulverton Compost	5.10 a	1175000.1 a	1.2875 a	1187500.1 a	0.2000 a	66250.0 a
3 Dulverton Compost	4.90 a	1120000.1 a	0.9875 a	1008750.1 a	0.1875 a	53750.0 a
LSD (P=.05)	17.788	3180521.32	5.61381	2759901.78	1.28377	312849.00
Standard Deviation	1.400	250316.49	0.44182	217212.47	0.10104	24622.15
CV	34.15	26.61	46.1	23.49	49.49	40.47
Bartlett's X2	1.928	0.735	2.928	3.028	0.0	1.309
P(Bartlett's X2)	0.165	0.391	0.087	0.082		0.253
Replicate F	0.276	0.123	0.361	0.367	0.500	0.990
Replicate Prob(F)	0.6923	0.7853	0.6557	0.6531	0.6082	0.5016
Treatment F	2.490	4.114	1.217	4.169	0.071	0.136
Treatment Prob(F)	0.4089	0.3292	0.5396	0.3273	0.9354	0.8868

Appendix iii. Statistical analysis

Means followed by same letter do not significantly differ (P=.05, LSD)

Mean comparisons performed only when AOV Treatment P(F) is significant at mean comparison OSL.

Description	DSI 1	DSI 2	DSI 3	DSI 4	DSI 0-4	Severe rot root count	Severe root rot % incidence
Rating Date	17/12/10	17/12/10	17/12/10	17/12/10	17/12/10	17/12/10	17/12/10
Days after sowing	70	70	70	70	70	70	70
Trt Treatment							
No. Name Rate	47	48	49	50	51	52	53
1 Dulverton Compost 0	4.0 a	9.0 a	6.5 a	10.5 a	2.8 a	17.0 a	56.7 a
3 Dulverton Compost 10000	5.0 a	6.0 a	7.0 a	12.0 a	2.9 a	19.0 a	63.3 a
5 Dulverton Compost 20000	8.0 a	5.5 a	5.5 a	12.5 a	2.7 a	18.0 a	57.5 a
LSD (P=.05)	21.08	8.05	10.69	30.48	2.44	25.34	84.24
Standard Deviation	4.90	1.87	2.48	7.08	0.57	5.89	19.58
CV	86.45	27.38	39.21	60.71	20.33	32.71	33.11
Bartlett's X2	0.0	1.392	2.005	1.839	4.044	0.943	0.943
P(Bartlett's X2)		0.238	0.367	0.399	0.132	0.331	0.331
Replicate F	1.000	0.429	0.432	0.053	0.315	0.308	0.308
Replicate Prob(F)	0.4226	0.5799	0.5784	0.8391	0.6310	0.6349	0.6349
Treatment F	0.361	2.048	0.189	0.043	0.033	0.058	0.069
Treatment Prob(F)	0.7347	0.3281	0.8409	0.9586	0.9682	0.9455	0.9356

Means followed by same letter do not significantly differ (P=.05, LSD) Mean comparisons performed only when AOV Treatment P(F) is significant at mean comparison OSL.

Description	Tau uusiaht	\\\\\\\\\\\\\		
Description	l op weight	vvnole plant		
Rating Date	11/01/11	17/12/10		
Days after sowing	95	67		
Rating Data Type	WEIGHT	FRESH WEIGHT		
Rating Unit	T/HA	KG/100 PLANTS		
Trt Treatment				
1 Dulverton Compost	6.0 a	1.02550016 a		
2 Dulverton Compost	12.3 a	0.98145006 a		
3 Dulverton Compost	11.4 a	1.12510001 a		
LSD (P=.05)	33.35521	1.960185605		
Standard Deviation	2.62515	0.154272438		
CV	26.56	14.78		
Bartlett's X2	0.001	1.043		
P(Bartlett's X2)	0.971	0.307		
Replicate F	0.000	1.095		
Replicate Prob(F)	0.9901	0.4855		
Treatment F	3.430	0.455		
Treatment Prob(F)	0.3567 0.7235			
A CHI LL LIN L I ' 'C' II PCC				

Means followed by same letter do not significantly differ (P=.05, LSD) Mean comparisons performed only when AOV Treatment P(F) is significant at mean comparison OSL.

Sheffield, Tasmania

	July 2010			August 2010				September 2010				
	Activity	Min °C	Max °C	mm	Activity	Min °C	Max °C	mm	Activity	Min °C	Max °C	mm
1		0.7	11.1	0		4.8	10.8	19.8		7.2	13.6	23.4
2		0.3	8.4	0.4		1.9	14.6	0		0.4	12.8	0
3		1.0	12.9	0.2		1.3	11.6	0		1.0	9.9	0.2
4		2.6	11.0	0		4.0	11.1	6.8		5.3	12.2	15.6
5		2.2	12.3	0		2.3	11.9	1.2		8.8	12.4	43.8
6		1.5	10.2	0.2		3.6	11.1	0		1.8	11.1	0
7		1.0	11.8	0.4		1.6	9.6	0.4		0.1	11.9	0.6
8		0.9	11.4	0.2		4.4	7.8	11.6		0.3	9.3	0.2
9	Treat	2.4	11.6	0		4.0	11.7	0		5.4	10.6	3.4
10		4.2	11.5	2.2		6.2	11.8	0		8.1	13.7	17.2
11		8.5	11.8	39.4		7.8	11.8	16.8		4.2	13.6	1
12		7.6	13.2	2.8		7.3	14.4	15.6		6.6	12.8	0.2
13		5.8	12.8	0.8		1.2	11.3	0		5.0	9.8	3.2
14		7.0	10.6	21.8		6.0	10.4	0.6		0.3	11.9	0
15		3.4	9.8	0.2		7.5	12.7	22.8		4.8	9.6	0
16		-0.6	12.0	0.2		4.0	7.8	3.8		3.5	8.9	3.4
17		2.6	10.5	0.6		1.1	10.5	6.6		4.4	11.0	1.2
18		5.4	9.7	4.6		0.8	9.6	0.6		7.8	13.1	0
19		0.9	9.8	3.6		5.5	11.5	17.4		2.9	13.2	0
20		2.4	11.7	1		1.6	8.1	3.8		8.7	19.3	0
21		0.4	11.9	0		3.6	11.3	10.4		8.2	16.5	0
22		3.7	15.1	1.4		2.1	12.1	0		4.7	14.1	0
23		3.0	13.2	0.2		5.2	12.8	7.2		4.8	14.5	0
24		2.3	10.7	0		6.0	9.5	10.4		8.3	14.2	0
25		-0.5	11.1	0.4		1.2	8.1	7.4		8.8	14.4	0
26		3.0	12.9	0		-0.1	9.5	5.2		1.8	13.9	1.8
27		4.3	13.1	0		1.5	10.2	6.6		7.1	11.7	4.8
28		5.1	10.5	0		2.0	12.4	0		1.1	8.8	2.2
29		5.8	11.6	0		0.7	12.4	0		0.7	10.2	5.4
30		4.3	10.2	2		-0.2	12	0.2		-0.9	11.8	0
31		6.6	12.6	22.6		5.4	10.6	0				
Total				105.2				175.2				127.6

Location:

Appendix iv. Meteorological details

Year: 2010

Year:	2010

Location: Sheffield, Tasmania

	October 2010			November 2010				December 2010				
	Activity	Min °C	Max °C	mm	Activity	Min °C	Max °C	mm	Activity	Min °C	Max °C	mm
1		2.2	14.3	0		3.8	13.1	5.6		7.0	20.7	0
2		2.1	14.5	0		6	16.8	0.4		13.0	20.9	0
3		6.6	17.5	0		3.3	13.8	0		15.3	20.2	27.6
4		11.4	14.5	0		3.2	14.5	3		13.0	26.0	4.8
5		7.9	16.6	3.4		5.7	14.9	0		11.4	16.1	0
6		5.5	12.4	0		7.2	15.4	0		9.2	18.4	0.2
7		4.0	11.4	1.8		8.0	13.2	0		11.9	17.7	0.6
8	Sowing	4.8	13.4	5.6		7.3	16.6	23		12.1	18.4	41.2
9		5.3	16.6	0		4.5	17.4	0		11.4	19.8	30.6
10		6.6	14.6	0		9.0	17.7	0		8.8	14.2	1.8
11		9.4	16.7	0		6.2	16.4	0.2		6.0	15.9	3.2
12		9.9	16.9	0.2		9.7	21.3	1		7.5	15.0	11.2
13		11.1	16.1	3		11.9	19.9	4		3.8	16.9	0
14		4.0	14.0	0		7.2	15.4	27	Assess	6.3	19.2	11.8
15		8.2	12.1	21.4		7.9	18.4	2.8		10.8	18.3	0.4
16		1.1	10.6	4		8.3	17.0	0		4.6	16.1	2.6
17		4.1	14.1	0		5.5	15.1	0	Assess	6.7	15.4	4.6
18		5.6	13.0	0		10.1	14.4	0		4.5	16.6	37.6
19		3.3	14.7	0.6		2.2	15.6	0		7.4	15.4	1.0
20		4.9	19.9	0		5.2	19.9	0		6.6	19.9	12.8
21		4.9	14.6	0		8.1	17.8	0		7.4	15.3	0.8
22		5.9	19.0	0		10.3	19.7	0		5.2	18.9	0.2
23		8.7	14.2	9.2		10.8	24.6	0		9.2	16.9	0
24		1.2	13.6	0		14.5	20.4	12.8		4.7	18.6	0
25	Soil sample	1.9	13.9	0.6		15.0	17.3	18.4		8.9	22.7	0
26		5.2	15.4	0		9.9	19.2	1		8.8	16.0	0
27		6.0	15.8	0		10.7	16.7	0		2.8	13.5	0
28		6.5	15.3	0		11.2	20.1	18.2		3.4	18.4	0
29		9.6	18.5	0.4		7.0	17.8	0		8.7	20.1	0
30		11.8	16.2	0		10.2	17.8	0		4.6	20.6	0
31		13.6	16.4	76.4						7.9	20.9	0
Total				126.6				117.4				193.0

Year:	2011

Location:

: Sheffield, Tasmania

	January 2011						
	Activity	Min °C	Max °C	mm			
1		10.9	21.4	0			
2		8.2	18.2	0			
3		6.0	19.8	0			
4		10.4	19.8	0			
5		10.9	21.4	0			
6		11.7	21.4	0.6			
7		11.3	26.3	0			
8		14.0	26.0	0			
9		10.3	24.4	0			
10		11.6	18.6	0			
11	Assess	14.6	20.3	0			
12		15.4	18.0	0			
13		15.0	18.7	0			
14	Assess	16.7	20.9	0			
15		13.5	25.9	45.6			
16		9.9	21.7	0			
17		9.8	18.1	0			
18		8.1	22.2	0			
19		8.2	21.6	0			
20		12.6	20.6	0			
21		14.2	23.3	0			
22		9.9	21.9	3.8			
23		9.0	20.6	0			
24		12.3	19.0	13			
25		8.8	18.9	0			
26		8.8	20.9	0.4			
27		8.5	21.0	0			
28		8.1	19.8	0			
29		7.8	20.7	0			
30		13.7	28.9	0			
31							
Total				63.4			